| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|-----------------|--------------|
| | 10/691,937 | ZHOU ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Evelyn Huang | 1625 |
| All Participants: Status of Application: | | |
| (1) <u>Evelyn Huang</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) Ms. Lences. | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 20 May 2004 | Time: | : |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | |
| | | |
| Claims discussed: | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Restriction requirement and the error in having structural formula IVa instead of the original formula I in the amended claim 1. | | |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Netice of Allowability of bice action. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Just Hray | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate) | | |